



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/553,685

11/01/2006

Sha Mi

2159.0440003/EJH/J-H

4041

53644

7590

09/21/2009

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX, P.L.L.C.
1100 NEW YORK AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

CARLSON, KAREN C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1656

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/21/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/553,685	Applicant(s) MI ET AL.	
	Examiner Karen Cochrane Carlson	Art Unit 1656	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Karen Cochrane Carlson. (3) Elizabeth Hannes.

(2) Cynthia DeRenzo. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: September 17, 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 58, 69, and 71.

Identification of prior art discussed: in general.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: CR and EH proposed amending claim 58 to recite that the expressed polynucleotide produces a soluble fragment of SEQ ID NO: 2, consistent with language proposed in the Final Rejection. The proposed amendment appears to overcome the rejections under Osada et al. wherein full-length protein read on the previously claimed nucleic acid product.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Karen Cochrane Carlson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656